

COPY

FILED

2008 JAN 10 AM 9:50

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF.  
LOS ANGELES

BY \_\_\_\_\_

1 Yasha Bronshteyn, Esq.  
2 Ginzburg & Bronshteyn, LLP  
3 11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1840  
4 Los Angeles, CA 90025  
5 Tel. (310)914-3222  
6 Fax (310)914-4242  
7 SBN: 210248  
8

9 Julie Cohen Lonstein, Esq.  
10 Lonstein Law Office, P.C.  
11 1 Terrace Hill, P.O. Box 351  
12 Ellenville, NY 12428  
13 Tel. (845) 647-8500  
14 Fax (845) 647-6277  
15

16  
17 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
18 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
19 WESTERN DIVISION  
20

21 -----  
22 DIRECTV, Inc., a California corporation,  
23

24 Plaintiff,  
25

26 v.  
27

Civil Action No.

CV08-00219-DSF-SS

28 ROSALIA ALVARADO, Individually, and  
29 d/b/a EL COMAL MEXICAN RESTAURANT  
30 a/k/a EL COMAL a/k/a EL COMAL  
31 RESTAURANT, and EL COMAL MEXICAN  
32 RESTAURANT a/k/a EL COMAL a/k/a EL  
33 COMAL RESTAURANT,  
34

35 Defendant.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff, DIRECTV, sues, ROSALIA ALVARADO, Individually, and d/b/a EL COMAL MEXICAN RESTAURANT a/k/a EL COMAL a/k/a EL COMAL RESTAURANT, and

1 EL COMAL MEXICAN RESTAURANT a/k/a EL COMAL a/k/a EL COMAL RESTAURANT,  
2 (referred to hereinafter as “DEFENDANT” or “DEFENDANTS”), and states:

3 **I. JURISDICTION**

4 2. This lawsuit is brought pursuant to the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984,  
5 47 U.S.C. §§521. et seq. (The “Act”) as an action for declaratory and injunctive relief and damages  
6 for the improper receipt, transmission, and exhibition of satellite programming signals in violation  
7 of the Act. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter to this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331.  
8 Pursuant to which the United States District Courts have original jurisdiction of all civil actions  
9 arising under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States.

10 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action. The Defendants  
11 to this action had or have an agent or agents who has or have independently transacted business in  
12 the State of California, and certain activities of the Defendants giving rise to this action took place  
13 in the State of California; more particularly, Defendants’ acts of violating federal laws and  
14 DIRECTV, Inc.’s proprietary rights as distributor of the satellite programming transmission signals  
15 took place within the Central District of California. Moreover, upon information and belief,  
16 Defendants have its principal place of business within the State of California; thus, this Court has  
17 personal jurisdiction over the Defendants.

18 **II. VENUE**

19 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) as a substantial  
20 part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within Los Angeles County, which  
21 is within the Central District of California [28 U.S.C. §84(c)(2)].  
22

1 **III. PARTIES**

2 5. Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc. (“DIRECTV”) is at all times relevant hereto a corporation  
3 incorporated under the laws of the State of California. DIRECTV is a major distributor of satellite  
4 programming doing business throughout the United States. Through its operations DIRECTV  
5 provides interstate direct broadcast satellite programming to satellite dish owners who pay for the  
6 programming via a subscription fee and obtain a programming license from DIRECTV in return for  
7 a subscription. The obvious result of a user subscription is that users can then watch programs on  
8 their televisions and/or listen to certain high quality audio programs communicated electronically  
9 by DIRECTV via satellite (the “Satellite Programming”). DIRECTV holds proprietary rights to the  
10 Satellite Programming it transmits and DIRECTV is the owner of and/or a lawfully designated  
11 distribution agent for such Satellite Programming.

12 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ROSALIA ALVARADO, is the owner of the  
13 defendant, EL COMAL MEXICAN RESTAURANT, for the premises located at 14222 E. Imperial  
14 Highway, La Mirada, CA 90638, and resides at 14222 Imperial Highway, La Mirada, CA 90638-  
15 1940.

16 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, EL COMAL MEXICAN RESTAURANT, is  
17 the premises name located at 14222 E. Imperial Highway, La Mirada, CA 90638.

18 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, EL COMAL MEXICAN RESTAURANT a/k/a  
19 EL COMAL a/k/a EL COMAL RESTAURANT, is located and doing business at 14222 E. Imperial  
20 Highway, La Mirada, CA 90638, as a commercial establishment.

21 **IV. FACTS**

22 9. DIRECTV incorporates and realleges by reference allegations within paragraphs 1

1 through 8 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

2 10. By way of further allegation, DIRECTV alleges that to prevent unauthorized entities  
3 and persons from viewing the Satellite Programming, DIRECTV encrypts the satellite transmissions.  
4 Upon payment of the appropriate subscription or license fees, DIRECTV authorizes and enables the  
5 subscriber to unscramble and receive the Satellite Programming.

6 11. DIRECTV provides services to homes based on residential rates and to commercial  
7 establishments under commercial rates. Because equipment used with DIRECTV services can be  
8 moved from residential locations to commercial establishments without DIRECTV's knowledge,  
9 owners of commercial establishments wishing to use DIRECTV's programming, for their own  
10 commercial gain, at the lower residential rates can surreptitiously gain access to DIRECTV  
11 programming without proper authorization by subscribing to DIRECTV services under a residential  
12 account and then installing/moving the equipment to their business and utilizing those services in  
13 a commercial environment.

14 12. On or about and prior to October 15, 2006, DEFENDANTS willfully received and  
15 displayed Satellite Programming to the public and for commercial benefit or financial gain;  
16 specifically, DEFENDANTS received and displayed, among other programs, National Football  
17 League games only available through DIRECTV's premium "NFL Ticket" service. Such Satellite  
18 Programming was displayed without authorization from DIRECTV.

19 13. DEFENDANTS, without entitlement, without prior permission or authorization from  
20 DIRECTV, and without having paid DIRECTV for the right to receive, broadcast, use or display  
21 DIRECTV's Satellite Programming in the commercial establishment known as EL COMAL  
22 RESTAURANT, has received, assisted in receiving, transmitted, assisted in transmitting, divulged,

1 published and displayed the content and substance of DIRECTV's Satellite Programming at such  
2 DEFENDANTS place of business, EL COMAL RESTAURANT. Without authorization,  
3 DEFENDANTS displayed and/or published such Satellite Programming to their customers and  
4 others. DEFENDANTS' conduct violates several federal statutes, (including 18 U.S.C. §§2511 and  
5 2512 and 47 U.S.C. §605) and laws of the State of California. Moreover, DEFENDANTS' acts were  
6 unauthorized, willful, and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private  
7 financial gain.

8 14. DIRECTV has been damaged by DEFENDANTS in that (a) DIRECTV has been  
9 denied subscription fees for commercial use of its Satellite Programming; (b) DIRECTV's sales have  
10 been reduced through DEFENDANTS unfair competition with DIRECTV's authorized customers;  
11 and (c) DIRECTV's proprietary rights in the Satellite Programming have been impaired. In addition,  
12 DEFENDANTS profited and gained commercial advantage from the unauthorized and willful use  
13 of DIRECTV's Satellite Programming.

14 15. DIRECTV has been required to retain attorneys to prevent DEFENDANTS' wrongful  
15 acts and to prosecute this action. Due to DEFENDANTS' statutory violations, DIRECTV is entitled  
16 to recover the reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in prosecution of this action under  
17 federal law.

## 18 V. CAUSES OF ACTION

### 19 Count 1 - Damages for Violations of Cable Communications Policy Act 20 [47 U.S.C. §605(e)(3)(C)]

21 16. DIRECTV incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as  
22 if fully set forth herein.

23 17. DIRECTV alleges on information and belief, that DEFENDANTS effected  
24

1 unauthorized interception and receipt of Satellite Programming by ordering programming for  
2 residential use and subsequently displaying the programming in a commercial establishment for  
3 commercial gain without authorization, or by such other means which are unknown to DIRECTV  
4 and known only to DEFENDANTS.

5 18. Each of the DEFENDANTS' acts violates federal law. DEFENDANTS, illegally and  
6 without authorization, intercepted, received and exhibited, or otherwise assisted in the unauthorized  
7 interception, reception or exhibition of Satellite Programming transmitted by DIRECTV. Moreover,  
8 DEFENDANTS divulged or published the existence contents, substance, purport, effect or meaning  
9 of such satellite communications. Further, DEFENDANTS used such communications for their own  
10 benefit or for the benefit of others who were not entitled to such communications. Each of these acts  
11 is a practice prohibited by 47 U.S.C. §605(a).

12 19. DIRECTV is a person aggrieved by the DEFENDANTS violation of 47 U.S.C. §605  
13 and is authorized to institute this action against the DEFENDANTS pursuant to 47 U.S.C.  
14 §605(e)(3)(A).

15 20. DEFENDANTS' violations of 47 U.S.C. §605 have injured DIRECTV's ability to  
16 maximize the revenues which it seeks to derive from the Satellite Programming, as DIRECTV has  
17 been deprived of the benefit of subscribers to the Satellite Programming. As a further result of such  
18 violations, DIRECTV's goodwill and reputation have been usurped by DEFENDANTS while  
19 DEFENDANTS gained and will continue to gain unjust profits and undeserved goodwill. DIRECTV  
20 is entitled to costs, reasonable attorney's fees, actual damages, suffered, and profits obtained by  
21 DEFENDANTS attributable to its illegal conduct.

22 21. Alternatively, DIRECTV is entitled to statutory damages in an amount not less than

1 \$1,000 nor more than \$10,000 for each violation of 47 U.S.C. §605(a).

2 22. DIRECTV will further show that DEFENDANTS conduct in violation of 47 U.S.C.  
3 §605(a) was committed willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or  
4 private financial gain.

5 23. Due to DEFENDANTS willful conduct, DIRECTV is entitled to statutory damages  
6 in an amount not less than \$10,000 and up to \$100,000 for each willful violation of 47 U.S.C.  
7 §605(a).

8 **Count 2 - Damages for Violations of 18 U.S.C. §2511**

9 24. DIRECTV realleges the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this  
10 Complaint and incorporates such allegations as if fully set forth herein.

11 25. For further cause of action, DIRECTV alleges that DEFENDANTS intentionally  
12 intercepted, endeavored to intercept, or procured other persons to intercept electronic  
13 communications from DIRECTV. DEFENDANTS further disclosed or endeavored to disclose to  
14 others the contents of electronic communications, knowing or having a reason to know that the  
15 information was obtained through the interception of electronic communications in violation of 18  
16 U.S.C. §2511. DEFENDANTS further intentionally used or endeavored to use the contents of  
17 electronic communications, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained  
18 through the interception of electronic communications in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511.

19 26. DIRECTV is a person whose electronic communications are being intercepted,  
20 disclosed and/or intentionally used in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2520.

21 27. Due to DEFENDANTS wrongful conduct, DIRECTV is entitled, under 18 U.S.C.  
22 §2520, to the greater of the sum of (1) actual damages suffered by DIRECTV and the profits made

1 by the DEFENDANTS as a result of their conduct, or (2) statutory damages in an amount the greater  
2 of \$10,000 or \$100 per day for each day DEFENDANTS acted in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511.

3 **Count 3 - Civil Conversion**

4 28. DIRECTV hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this  
5 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

6 29. By virtue of the conduct set forth above, DEFENDANTS have unlawfully converted  
7 DIRECTV's property for its own commercial use and benefit.

8 30. Such conversion was done intentionally and wrongfully by DEFENDANTS to deprive  
9 DIRECTV of its proprietary interests and for DEFENDANTS direct commercial benefit and  
10 advantage.

11 31. Due to DEFENDANT's wrongful conversion of DIRECTV Satellite Programming,  
12 DIRECTV suffered damages.

13 **VI. REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

14 32. DIRECTV realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1  
15 through 31 as if fully set forth herein.

16 33. DIRECTV further alleges that unless restrained by this Court, the DEFENDANTS  
17 will continue to receive, intercept, transmit, and exhibit the Satellite Programming, illegally and  
18 without authorization, in violation of 47 U.S.C. §605. The DEFENDANTS intercepted and publicly  
19 exhibited the Satellite Programming without authorization on at least one occasion and DIRECTV  
20 cannot practicably detect or determine each occasion on which DEFENDANTS have intercepted and  
21 publicly exhibited the Satellite Programming.

22 34. The violations of 47 U.S.C. §605 set forth above have caused and will continue to

1 cause DIRECTV irreparable harm.

2 35. DIRECTV cannot practicably determine the loss of subscribers and lost revenues  
3 resulting from the DEFENDANTS unlawful conduct. In addition to diminishing DIRECTV's  
4 revenues, the DEFENDANTS' unlawful conduct injures DIRECTV's reputation and goodwill as  
5 well as its ability to attract and finance the future acquisition, production, and distribution of quality  
6 programming, thereby impairing DIRECTV's ability to enhance its future growth and profitability.

7 36. DIRECTV has no adequate remedy at law to redress the violations set forth above.

### 8 **VII. PRAYER**

9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DIRECTV, INC., prays that this Court enter judgment in its favor  
10 and against DEFENDANTS and:

- 11 a. Declare that DEFENDANTS unauthorized interception, reception, and public  
12 commercial exhibition of DIRECTV's electronic communications, or its assistance  
13 in the performance of such unauthorized actions, was in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511  
14 and 47 U.S.C. §605, and that such violations were committed willfully and for  
15 purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage and private financial gain;  
16
- 17 b. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. §2520(b)(1) and 47 U.S.C. §605(e)(3)(B)(I) enjoin  
18 DEFENDANTS, its owners, officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and  
19 all persons in active concert or participation with any of them from (I) interfering  
20 with DIRECTV's proprietary rights, (ii) intercepting, receiving, divulging, or  
21 displaying DIRECTV's Satellite Programming without prior written consent of  
22 DIRECTV; and (iii) further violations;  
23
- 24 c. Award DIRECTV statutory damages in the amount of the greater of \$10,000 or \$100  
25 per day for each day DEFENDANTS violated 18 U.S.C. §2511, or alternatively,  
26 DIRECTV requests judgment for actual damages, plus damages equal to any profits  
27 attributable to the DEFENDANTS' violations of 18 U.S.C. §2511;  
28
- 29 d. Award DIRECTV statutory damages in the amount of \$10,000 for each violation of  
30 47 U.S.C. §605, plus an additional \$100,000 for each violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C.  
31 §605(e)(3)(C)(ii); alternatively, DIRECTV requests judgment for actual damages,  
32 plus damages equal to any profits attributable to the DEFENDANTS' violations of  
33 47 U.S.C. §605;  
34

- 1 e. That the Court award punitive damages;  
2  
3 f. That this Court award DIRECTV its costs, including reasonable attorney's fees,  
4 prejudgment interest and post-judgment interest, and such other relief to which  
5 DIRECTV may be entitled.  
6

7 Dated: January 2, 2008  
8 Ellenville, New York  
9

10 Respectfully submitted,

11 DIRECTV, Inc.

12 By: 

13 JULIE COHEN LONSTEIN, ESQ.

14 Attorney for Plaintiff

15 LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C.

16 Office and P.O. Address

17 1 Terrace Hill : P.O. Box 351

18 Ellenville, NY 12428

19 Telephone: (845) 647-8500

20 Facsimile: (845) 647-6277

21 Email: [Info@signallaw.com](mailto:Info@signallaw.com)

22 Our File No. NFL6-6CA-C03V  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 By: 

28 YASHA BRONSHTEYN

29 Bar Roll No. 210248

30 GINZBURG & BRONSHTEYN, LLP

31 Resident Counsel for Plaintiff

32 11111 Santa Monica Blvd

33 Ste 1840

34 Los Angeles, CA 90025

35 Tel. (310)914-3222

36 Fax (310)914-4242  
37  
38

*Yashagblpe@yahoo.com*

